STATE OF CALIFORNIA -- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
Delta Stewardship Council	Anthony Navasero	Anthony.Navasero@delta	916-445-5471		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400  NOTICE FILE NUMBER					
Regulatory amendment on the definition of "significant impact" related to single-year water transfers   Z					
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumptions	in the rulemaking record.			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:				
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting require	ments	•		
b. Impacts small businesses	b. Impacts small businesses				
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals				
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Explair	below):			
	<b>Proposed amendment</b>	extends current practice - se	e Attachment 1		
	a through g is checked, complete this E				
If box in Item 1.h. i	s checked, complete the Fiscal Impact	Statement as appropriate.			
Delta Stewardship Council					
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic impac	t of this regulation (which includes t	he fiscal impact) is:		
⊠ Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact i.	s over \$50 million, agencies are required to subr	nit a Standardized Regulatory Impact	<u>Assessment</u>		
	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]				
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	unknown				
5. Liver the total hamber of businesses implicited.	- Carrette C				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Businesses served by the wate	er agency securing transfers	may benefit indirectly		
Enter the number or percentage of total					
businesses impacted that are small businesses:	unknown				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: <u>unknown</u> eliminated: <u>u</u>	nknown			
Explain: See attachment 1					
-					
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$					
X	Local or regional (List areas): The Delta a	nd regions that use water fr	om Delta wa		
and the second second	/				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: unknown	and eliminated: unknown				
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	cted: See attachment 1	•			
, , ,	•	•			
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California					
other states by making it more costly to produce	e goods or services here? YES	⊠ NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					
			<b>,</b>		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculation	s and assumptions in the	rulemaking record.	
What are the total statewide dollar costs the	nat businesses and individ	duals may incur to comply with this regulat	ion over its lifetime? \$ none
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ nor	ne	Annual ongoing costs: \$ none	Years: n/a
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ NOT	ne	Annual ongoing costs: \$ none	Years: n/a
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$NOr	ne	Annual ongoing costs: \$ none	Years: n/a
d. Describe other economic costs that may	y occur: none		
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter t	he share of total costs for	each industry: n/a	
3. If the regulation imposes reporting require Include the dollar costs to do programming, t	ments, enter the annual c record keeping, reporting, c	costs a typical business may incur to comp and other paperwork, whether or not the pap	ly with these requirements. perwork must be submitted. \$n/a
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing	costs? YES	] NO	
	If YES, enter the	annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
		Number of units:	
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	YES 🔀	NO	
Explain the need for State regulation given	the existence or absence	of Federal regulations: See attachmer	nt 1
Enter any additional costs to businesses and	l/or individuals that may I	be due to State - Federal differences: \$ n/	ía
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the	dollar value of benefits is	s not specifically required by rulemaking lo	aw, but encouraged.
Briefly summarize the benefits of the regular health and welfare of California residents, v			•
Avoided cost of a no regulatory a	·		
Economic benefits of a more relia		agencies securing transfers	
2. Are the benefits the result of: X specific s			ed on broad statutory authority?
Explain:	, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			
<ol><li>What are the total statewide benefits from t</li></ol>	his regulation over its life	etime? \$ unknown	_
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesse	s currently doing busines:	s within the State of California that would	result from this regulation:unknown
			-
<ul> <li>ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION specifically required by rulemaking law, bu</li> </ul>		assumptions in the rulemaking record. Es	timation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1. List alternatives considered and describe the	em below. If no alternativ	res were considered, explain why not: Sec	e attachment 1
Alternative 1(a): Extend sunset da	ite to December 31,	. 2019	
Alternative 2: No regulatory actio	n/amendment		
			PAGE 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2. Summarize the	total statewid	e costs and benefits f	rom this	regulation and each alt	ernative considered:	
Regulation:	Benefit: \$_	618,000/year	Cost: \$	0		
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$	0, until 2019	-	
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$_			618,000/year	_	
3. Briefly discuss a of estimated co	ny quantifications osts and bene	on issues that are rele fits for this regulatio	vant to a n or alte	comparison rnatives: See att	achment 1 for pote	ential range of costs and benefits.
Benefit is th	ie avoided	cost relative to r	no regu	latory action (Opt	ion 2).	
regulation man	dates the use	of specific technolo	gies or e	te standards as an alte quipment, or prescrib idered to lower compl	es specific	□ NO
Explain: Prop	osed actior	n recognizes tha	t single	-year transfers do	not have significar	nt impact and do not need to meet the
same presci	riptive cert	ification proced	ıres as	covered actions.		,
E. MAJOR REGUI	ATIONS Inci	lude calculations an	d assum <sub>i</sub>	otions in the rulemakir	ng record.	
					) boards, offices and de section 57005). Ot	departments are required to Therwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the estimate	ed costs of this	s regulation to Califo	rnia busii	ness enterprises <b>excee</b> c	I \$10 million? YES	⊠ NO
		•		If YES, complete If NO, skip		
2. Briefly describe	each aiternativ	ve, or combination o	alternati	ives, for which a cost-ef	fectiveness analysis was	performed:
Alternative 1:						
Alternative 2:						
(Attach addition	al pages for oth	ner alternatives)				
3. For the regulation	on, and each a	lternative just descri	bed. ente	er the estimated total co	ost and overall cost-effec	tiveness ratio
					atio: \$	
					atio: \$	
					atio: \$	
4. Will the regulatio exceeding \$50 n	n subject to O nillion in any 1	AL review have an e	stimated ween the	economic impact to bu date the major regulat	isiness enterprises and ir	ndividuals located in or doing business in California ed with the Secretary of State through 12 months
YES	<b>∀</b> NO					
				ory Impact Assessment ( n the Initial Statement o		`
i. Briefly describe t	he following:					
The increase or o	decrease of in	vestment in the State	:		n/a	<u>a</u>
The incentive fo	r innovation in	n products, materials	or proce	sses:		n/a
The benefits of t	he regulations r safety, and t	s, including, but not l he state's environme	imited to	, benefits to the health uality of life, among any	safety, and welfare of Coother benefits identified	alifornia d by the agency:
Avoided cos	t of a no re	gulatory action/	amend	ment alternative		
						PAGE 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

## **ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT** (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assump current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	
a. Funding provided in	
Budget Act of or Chapter, Statutes of	·
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of	
Fiscal Year:	
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).	
\$	
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in	
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	Court.
Case of: vs	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No.	
Date of Election:	
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).	
Local entity(s) affected:	
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:	
Authorized by Section of the	Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to	o each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in	
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)	
\$ <u>n/a</u>	
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regu	lations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.	
6. Other. Explain	
	•
	PAGE 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calc year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	culations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the Fiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
🛛 4. Other. Explain No fiscal impact compared to existing condition.	
Savings as compared to no regulatory action.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 throimpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ough 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other, Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	7/18/16
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Aging ighest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
a dere-	7/18/16
inance approval and signature is required when SAM sections $6601$ - $6616$ require completion	of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE